Formatted for Electronic Distribution

Not for Publication

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF VERMONT

In re: FIBERMARK, INC., FIBERMARK NORTH AMERICA, INC., and FIBERMARK INTERNATIONAL HOLDINGS, INC. Debtors.

Chapter 11 Case # 04-10463 Jointly Administered

ORDER SETTING A HEARING ON SECOND INTERIM FEE APPLICATION OF WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP

WHEREAS on January 20, 2005, Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP ("WCPHD"), in its capacity as special counsel to the Debtors, filed a second interim fee application for interim allowance of compensation for services rendered and for the reimbursement of expense during the period from July 1, 2004 through October 31, 2004 (the "Application Period") (doc. #1052) ("WCPHD's Second Application"); and

WHEREAS WCPHD's Second Application was noticed pursuant to this Court's default procedure under Vt. LBR 9013-1(f)(I); and

WHEREAS no party has filed an objection, and the United States Trustee has neither objected nor consented to WCPHD's Second Application;

Upon review of WCPHD's Second Application, THE COURT FINDS a hearing is necessary to address internal inconsistencies in WCPHD's Second Application with regard to internal conferences. The time records WCPHD has filed reflect that WCPHD held internal conferences about this case on an almost daily basis and in virtually each instance the participants billed for varying amounts of time. Thus, the Court is unable to determine whether the time billed by each attorney for these internal conferences, and the corresponding fees sought therefor, are reasonable.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that a representative of WCPHD appear to clarify and supplement the record in connection with WCPHD's Second Application by addressing the following questions, in connection with each internal conference included in the Second Application:

- for those internal conferences where the participating professionals billed different amounts of time for the same conferences, what is the actual amount of time spent for each conference; and
- (2) on those days where multiple internal conferences occurred and discrepancies exist between the time entries for the various participants, which conferences tie to which time entries.

The Court will rely upon the information presented at the hearing to determine the reasonableness of the request for compensation for WCPHD's internal conferences during the Application Period.

Filed & Entered On Docket 02/10/05

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the hearing shall be held on <u>February 22, 2005 at 1:30 p.m. U.S.</u> <u>District Court, Federal Building in Burlington, Vermont.</u> In the event that WCPHD would like to provide the Court with a written response prior to the hearing date, it should do so no later than Friday, February 18, 2005. To the extent that WCPHD has no other matters pending before the Court on February 22, 2005 which would necessitate a representative's presence in Burlington, the Court will consider allowing WCPHD to appear via video conference, upon request.

SO ORDERED.

February 10, 2005 Burlington, Vermont

Celler a Brown

Colleen A. Brown United States Bankruptcy Judge